UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/054,038	11/12/2001	Sunao Takatori	2222.6080000	1387
STERNE, KESSLER, GOLDSTEIN & FOX P.L.L.C. 1100 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W.			EXAMINER	
			HALIYUR, VENKATESH N	
WASHINGTON, DC 20005			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2419	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/25/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/054,038	TAKATORI ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	VENKATESH HALIYUR	2419
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 Ja 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E 	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-19 (2-3,6,11,17 are canceled) is/are 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,4-5,7-10,12-16,18-19 is/are rejected 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 12 November 2001 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 2001.	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 08/04/2008.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate

Art Unit: 2419

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

- 1. The amendment filed on 01/29/2009 has been considered. The amendments necessitated new ground(s) of rejection in view of Alfano and newly found references. Therefore the rejection communicated via previous office action has been withdrawn. Rejection follows.
- 2. Claims 1-19 are pending in the application. Claim 2-3, 6, 11, 17 are canceled.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1,4,7-9,12-13,15-16,18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C.103(a) as being unpatentable over Alfano et al [US Pat: 6,094,423] in view of Golestani [US Pat: 6,965,943].

Regarding claims 1, 4, Alfano et al in the invention of "Wireless Protocol Method and Apparatus Supporting Transaction Requests With Variable Length Responses" disclosed a device (Fig 6) comprising:

a transmitter (XMTR, item 51 of Fig 6) configured to transmit a query to a destination communication device corresponding to packet units that are recognizable by the destination communication device (server, col 5, lines 45-55).

a receiver (RCVR, item 54 of Fig 6) to receive information from the destination communication device (server) corresponding to packet units that are recognizable by the destination communication device in response to the query (col 5, lines 56-67, col 3, lines 25-27).

a determining device (processor, item 58 of Fig 6) configured to select a most appropriate packet unit (MTU, Maximum transfer unit size) for transmission data to be packetized that minimizes the amount of transmission data (col 5, lines 45-67, col 6, lines 1-16), the most appropriate packet unit being selected according to the received information corresponding to the packet units that are recognizable by the destination communication device (server response indicates the packet size, col 6, lines 17-23); and a packet generator (processor) configured to packetize the transmission data based on a packet unit determined by said determining device (processor segments packets if packet size exceeds MTU, col 6, lines 24-54, Fig 7) configured to store information with respect to the packet units that are recognizable by the destination device (col 5, lines 5-17) and further disclosed a storage device (RAM, item 66 of Fig 6) configured to store information with respect to the packet units that are recognizable

by the destination communication device (col 5, lines 62-67, col 6, lines 1-4). Alfano disclosed the feature of a determining device configured to select a most appropriate packet unit for transmission data to be packetized which inherently suggest that transmitting varying size data packets correspondingly changes the amount of transmission data or the transmission rate, however, Golestani disclosed a method wherein a receiving unit (apparatus) requests the transmitting side to transmit data at a transmission rate that is recognizable by the receiving side (col 3, lines 12-35). Therefore it would have been obvious for one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the method of receiving unit requesting the transmitting side to transmit data at a transmission rate that is recognizable by the receiving side as taught Golestani in the system of Alfano et al to include the feature of transmitting the most appropriate packet unit being selected according to the received information corresponding to the packet units that are recognizable by the destination communication device. One is motivated in order to provide a mechanism for the receiving side to request transmitting side to transmit data packet units to achieve an

Page 4

Regarding claims 7, 9, Alfano et al disclosed a method for determining packet units for transmission data to be packetized and transmitted from a communication terminal device (communication device, item 50, Fig 6) to a destination communication device (server), the method comprising:

optimal transmission rate for error and congestion control.

transmitting (XMTR, item 51 of Fig 6) a query to the destination communication device corresponding to packet units that are recognizable by the destination communication device (server, col 5, lines 45-63).

receiving information (RCVR, item 54 of Fig 6) from the destination communication device (server) corresponding to packet units that are recognizable by the destination communication device in response to the query (col 5, lines 56-67, col 6, lines 1-16, col 3, lines 25-27).

selecting a packet unit to minimize the amount of transmission data according to the received information corresponding to the packet units that are recognizable by the destination device (server response indicates the packet size, col 6, lines 17-23) and packetizing said transmission data according to the packet unit selected (processor segments packets if packet size exceeds MTU, col 6, lines 24-54) and determining whether the information regarding packet units recognizable by said destination communication device (col 5, lines 62-67, col 6, lines 1-4) is stored in a memory of said communication terminal device (RAM, item 66 of Fig 6, col 6, lines 5-23, col 5, lines 26-29, Fig 7). Alfano disclosed the feature of a determining device configured to select a most appropriate packet unit for transmission data to be packetized which inherently suggest that transmitting varying size data packets correspondingly changes the amount of transmission data or the transmission rate, however, Golestani disclosed a method wherein a receiving unit (apparatus) requests the transmitting side to transmit data at a transmission rate that is recognizable by the receiving side (col 3, lines 12-35, Fig 4). Therefore it would have been obvious for one Art Unit: 2419

of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the method of receiving unit requesting the transmitting side to transmit data at a transmission rate that is recognizable by the receiving side as taught Golestani in the system of Alfano et al to include the feature of transmitting the most appropriate packet unit being selected according to the received information corresponding to the packet units that are recognizable by the destination communication device. One is motivated in order to provide a mechanism for the receiving side to request transmitting side to transmit data packet units to achieve an optimal transmission rate for error and congestion control.

Regarding claim 8, 13 Alfano et al disclosed transmitting said packetized transmission data from said communication terminal device to said destination communication device (col 5, lines 45-51).

Regarding claims 12,15, Alfano et al disclosed a computer program product comprising a tangible computer readable medium having computer executable instructions recorded thereon for enabling a processor to determine packet units for transmission data to be packetized and transmitted from a communication terminal device to a destination communication device (items 11 and 14, Fig 1), comprising:

instructions for transmitting (XMTR, item 51 of Fig 6) a query to the destination communication device corresponding to packet units that are recognizable by the destination communication device (server, col 5, lines 45-55).

instructions for receiving information (RCVR, item 54 of Fig 6) from the destination communication device (server) corresponding to packet units that are

recognizable by the destination communication device in response to the guery (col 5, lines 56-67, col 3, lines 25-27).

Page 7

Instructions for selecting a packet unit to minimize the amount of transmission data according to the received information corresponding to the packet units that are recognizable by the destination device (server response indicates the packet size. col 6, lines 17-23) and instructions for packetizing said transmission data according to the packet unit selected (processor segments packets if packet size exceeds MTU, col 6, lines 24-54) and instructions for determining whether the information regarding packet units recognizable by said destination communication device (col 5, lines 62-67, col 6, lines 1-4) is stored in a memory of said communication terminal device (RAM, item 66 of Fig 6, col 6, lines 5-23, col 5, lines 26-29, Fig 7). Alfano disclosed the feature of a determining device configured to select a most appropriate packet unit for transmission data to be packetized which inherently suggest that transmitting varying size data packets correspondingly changes the amount of transmission data or the transmission rate, however, Golestani disclosed a method wherein a receiving unit (apparatus) requests the transmitting side to transmit data at a transmission rate that is recognizable by the receiving side (col 3, lines 12-35, Fig 4). Therefore it would have been obvious for one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the method of receiving unit requesting the transmitting side to transmit data at a transmission rate that is recognizable by the receiving side as taught Golestani in the system of Alfano et al to include the feature of transmitting the most appropriate packet unit being selected according to the received information corresponding to the packet

Art Unit: 2419

units that are recognizable by the destination communication device. One is motivated in order to provide a mechanism for the receiving side to request transmitting side to transmit data packet units to achieve an optimal transmission rate for error and congestion control.

Regarding claims 16, 18, Alfano et al disclosed a computer program product comprising a tangible computer readable storage medium having computer program logic recorded thereon for enabling a processor to determine packet units for transmission data to be packetized and transmitted from a communication terminal device (communication device, item 50, Fig 6) to a destination communication device (server), the computer program logic (Figs 6/7) comprising:

transmitting means for enabling the processor to transmit (XMTR, item 51 of Fig 6) a query to the destination communication device corresponding to packet units that are recognizable by the destination communication device (server, col 5, lines 45-55).

receiving means for enabling the processor to receive (RCVR, item 54 of Fig 6) from the destination communication device (server) corresponding to packet units that are recognizable by the destination communication device in response to the query (col 5, lines 56-67, col 3, lines 25-27).

selecting means for enabling the processor to select a packet unit to minimize the amount of transmission data according to the received information corresponding to the packet units that are recognizable by the destination device (server response indicates the packet size, col 6, lines 17-23) and packetizing means for enabling the processor to pocketsize the transmission data according to the packet unit selected

Application/Control Number: 10/054,038

Page 9

Art Unit: 2419

(processor segments packets if packet size exceeds MTU, col 6, lines 24-56) and storing means for enabling the processor to store information with respect to the packet units that are recognizable by the destination communication device (RAM, item 66 of Fig 6, col 6, lines 5-23, col 5, lines 26-29, Fig 7). Alfano disclosed the feature of a determining device configured to select a most appropriate packet unit for transmission data to be packetized which inherently suggest that transmitting varying size data packets correspondingly changes the amount of transmission data or the transmission rate, however, Golestani disclosed a method wherein a receiving unit (apparatus) requests the transmitting side to transmit data at a transmission rate that is recognizable by the receiving side (col 3, lines 12-35, Fig 4). Therefore it would have been obvious for one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the method of receiving unit requesting the transmitting side to transmit data at a transmission rate that is recognizable by the receiving side as taught Golestani in the system of Alfano et al to include the feature of transmitting the most appropriate packet unit being selected according to the received information corresponding to the packet units that are recognizable by the destination communication device. One is motivated in order to provide a mechanism for the receiving side to request transmitting side to transmit data packet units to achieve an optimal transmission rate for error and congestion control.

5. Claims 5,10,14,19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C.103(a) as being unpatentable over Alfano et al [US Pat: 6,094,423] and Golestani [US Pat: 6,965,943] further in view of Blackwell et al.[US Pat: 6,085,253].

Art Unit: 2419

Regarding claims 5. Alfano et al disclosed that if a retransmission request occurs while packets are being transmitted, the determining device is configured to determine a smaller appropriate packet unit (segment data packets in smaller units, col 5, lines 45-67, item 112 of Fig 7) than the previously determined appropriate packet unit transmitting data subsequent to the retransmission request according a smaller packet (increase or decrease the packet size based on the server request in subsequent transmissions, col 6, lines 1-23, Fig 7). Golestani disclosed changing the data rate at the transmitter as requested and recognizable by the receiver transmission rate for error and congestion control mechanism (col 10, lines 54-66), but both Alfano and Golestani fail to positively disclose the feature of retransmission request occurs while packets are being transmitted. However, Blackwell et al disclosed a method for the receiver requesting the retransmission of packets while packets are being transmitted in a bidirectional data communication system (col 5, lines 60-67, col 6, lines 1-5, Fig 6). Therefore it would have been obvious for one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the method for the receiver requesting the retransmission of packets while packets are being transmitted in a bidirectional data communication system as taught by Blackwell et al in the system of Alfano et al as modified by Golestani to include the feature wherein if a retransmission request occurs while packets are being transmitted, the determining device is configured to determine a smaller appropriate packet unit than the previously determined appropriate packet unit transmitting data subsequent to the retransmission request according a smaller packet. One is motivated as such in order to provide an efficient retransmission mechanism at

Art Unit: 2419

the transmitter to process retransmission requests from the receiver to minimize retransmission delays and for better congestion control in the communication system.

Regarding claim 10, Alfano et al disclosed generating a retransmission request after said transmitting step requesting a different packet unit size (segment data packets in smaller units, col 5, lines 45-67, , item 112 of Fig 7) repacketizing said transmission data into a different packet unit size according to said retransmission request; and transmitting said repacketized transmission data to said destination communication device (segment data packets in smaller units, col 6, lines 1-23, item 112 of Fig 7). Golestani disclosed changing the data rate at the transmitter as requested and recognizable by the receiver transmission rate for error and congestion control mechanism (col 10, lines 54-66), but both Alfano and Golestani fail to positively disclose the feature of retransmission request occurs while packets are being transmitted. However, Blackwell et al disclosed a method for the receiver requesting the retransmission of packets while packets are being transmitted in a bidirectional data communication system (col 5, lines 60-67, col 6, lines 1-5, Fig 6). Therefore it would have been obvious for one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the method for the receiver requesting the retransmission of packets while packets are being transmitted in a bidirectional data communication system as taught by Blackwell et al in the system of Alfano et al as modified by Golestani to include the feature wherein if a retransmission request occurs while packets are being transmitted, the determining device is configured to determine a smaller appropriate packet unit than the previously determined appropriate packet unit transmitting data subsequent to the

Art Unit: 2419

retransmission request according a smaller packet. One is motivated as such in order to provide an efficient retransmission mechanism at the transmitter to process retransmission requests from the receiver to minimize retransmission delays and for better congestion control in the communication system.

Regarding claim 14. Alfano et al disclosed instructions for generating a retransmission request after said transmitting step requesting a different packet unit size (segment data packets in smaller units, col 5, lines 45-67, item 112 of Fig 7); instructions for repacketizing said transmission data into a different packet unit size according to said retransmission request; and instructions for transmitting said repacketized transmission data to said destination communication device (col 6, lines 1-23, item 112 of Fig 7). Golestani disclosed changing the data rate at the transmitter as requested and recognizable by the receiver transmission rate for error and congestion control mechanism (col 10, lines 54-66), but both Alfano and Golestani fail to positively disclose the feature of retransmission request occurs while packets are being transmitted. However, Blackwell et al disclosed a method for the receiver requesting the retransmission of packets while packets are being transmitted in a bidirectional data communication system (col 5, lines 60-67, col 6, lines 1-5, Fig 6). Therefore it would have been obvious for one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the method for the receiver requesting the retransmission of packets while packets are being transmitted in a bidirectional data communication system as taught by Blackwell et al in the system of Alfano et al as modified by Golestani to include the feature wherein if a retransmission request occurs

Application/Control Number: 10/054,038

Art Unit: 2419

while packets are being transmitted, the determining device is configured to determine a smaller appropriate packet unit than the previously determined appropriate packet unit transmitting data subsequent to the retransmission request according a smaller packet.

One is motivated as such in order to provide an efficient retransmission mechanism at the transmitter to process retransmission requests from the receiver to minimize retransmission delays and for better congestion control in the communication system.

Page 13

Regarding claim 19, Alfano et al disclosed wherein if a retransmission request occurs while packets are being transmitted, the determining device is configured to determine a smaller appropriate packet unit (segment data packets in smaller units, col 5, lines 45-67, , item 112 of Fig 7) than the previously determined appropriate packet unit transmitting data subsequent to the retransmission request according a smaller packet (increase or decrease the packet size based on the server request in subsequent transmissions, col 6, lines 1-23). Golestani disclosed changing the data rate at the transmitter as requested and recognizable by the receiver transmission rate for error and congestion control mechanism (col 10, lines 54-66), but both Alfano and Golestani fail to positively disclose the feature of retransmission request occurs while packets are being transmitted. However, Blackwell et al disclosed a method for the receiver requesting the retransmission of packets while packets are being transmitted in a bidirectional data communication system (col 5, lines 60-67, col 6, lines 1-5, Fig 6). Therefore it would have been obvious for one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the method for the receiver requesting the retransmission of packets while packets are being transmitted in a bidirectional data

Art Unit: 2419

communication system as taught by Blackwell et al in the system of Alfano et al as modified by Golestani to include the feature wherein if a retransmission request occurs while packets are being transmitted, the determining device is configured to determine a smaller appropriate packet unit than the previously determined appropriate packet unit transmitting data subsequent to the retransmission request according a smaller packet. One is motivated as such in order to provide an efficient retransmission mechanism at the transmitter to process retransmission requests from the receiver to minimize retransmission delays and for better congestion control in the communication system.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's argument, see remarks filed on 01/29/2009 for claims 12-16, 18-19 have been fully considered and are persuasive. However, a new ground(s) of rejection has been made in view of Alfano and a newly found references in this office action and therefore rejections communicated via previous office action has been withdrawn.

The amendments to claims 12-16,18-19 overcomes the rejections under 35 USC 112 1st and 2nd paragraph for claims 12-16, 18-19 communicated via previous office action has been withdrawn.

With respect to applicant's arguments for claims 1, 7, 12,16, that Alfano fail to teach or suggest the limitation of "a determining device configured to select a most appropriate packet unit for transmission data to be packetized that minimizes the

Art Unit: 2419

amount of transmission data" and "selecting a packet unit, recognizable by the destination communication device to minimize an amount of transmission data for the packet unit based on data communication rates" as recited in claims 1, 7, 12, and 16, However the examiner respectfully disagrees and points applicant's to col 5, lines 45-67, col 6, lines 1-54. Fig 6 where Alfano disclosed a method for mobile device (device) processor (determining device) requesting the server to segment and send the data packets over a connection oriented connection if the data packet size is more than the maximum transfer unit size (MTU) indicated by the mobile device. Therefore Alfano disclosed the feature of a determining device configured to select a most appropriate packet unit for transmission data to be packetized that minimizes the amount of transmission data, However, the examiner agrees that Alfano did not positively disclose the feature of selecting a packet unit, recognizable by the destination communication device to minimize an amount of transmission data for the packet unit based on data communication rates even though Alfano's disclosures inherently suggest that transmitting varying size data packets correspondingly changes the amount of transmission data or the transmission rate.

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications should be directed to the attention to Venkatesh Haliyur whose phone number is 571-272-8616.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:00AM to 5:00 PM. If

Art Unit: 2419

attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Edan Orgad can be reached @ (571)-272-7884. Any inquiry of a general

nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the

group receptionist whose telephone number is (571)-272-2600 or fax to 571-273-8300.

8. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published

applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status

information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For

more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you

have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business

Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197(toll-free).

/Venkatesh Haliyur/

Examiner, Art Unit 2419

/Edan Orgad/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2419